

PUBLIC HEARING

City of Anthony, NM Planning and Zoning Commission
Municipal Building / 820 Hwy 478
Anthony, NM 88021

Wednesday, March 25, 2020
6:30 P.M.

MINUTES

1. CALL TO ORDER by Chairwoman Janny Brumlow

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE led by Mrs. Janny Brumlow

3. ROLL CALL

Present: Commissioner Jose Terrones
Commissioner Andres Borunda
Commissioner Barbara Cameron
Commissioner Sarah Holguin
Chairwoman Janny Brumlow

YES NO
YES NO
YES NO
YES NO
YES NO
YES NO

Quorum established

You can join meeting using your phone by dialing.

United States: +1 (872) 240-3212

Access Code: 708-029-909

All members of the public entity participating remotely must identify themselves whenever they speak and must be clearly audible to the other members; Only Ten people will be allowed in the room at a time.

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA ORDER

1ST Motion: Comm. Terrones 2ND Motion: Comm. Holguin AIF

5. ITEMS FROM THE FLOOR – PUBLIC COMMENT- None

6. DISCUSSION AND ACTION

a) Consideration and Action to approve a variance request to divide two existing lots into two separate lots, located at 900 Ruth Avenue and ID R18-07284 and is in CR-1 Zone (Community Residential).

1st Motion: Comm. Terrones 2nd Motion: Comm. Cameron

Roll Call vote:

Commissioner Jose Terrones YES NO
Commissioner Andres Borunda YES NO
Commissioner Barbara Cameron YES NO
Commissioner Sarah Holguin YES NO
Chairwoman Janny Brumlow Tie Breaker YES NO

7. ADJOURNMENT

1ST Motion: Comm. Holguin 2ND motion: Comm. Terrones AIF

This public hearing of Anthony planning, zoning and commotion will now come to order Due to the coronavirus this hearing will be done with some commissioners connecting virtually.

- Chairwoman Brumlow: Okay, the public hearing of Anthony's planning and zoning commission will now come to order. First of all, let me say that this is being recorded. Due to the coronal virus pandemic, I have all the commissioners on the phone, including Mr. Roman representing the city. And we have one citizen that has signed in. If you are going to speak, please give us your name first so we all know who we're talking to. Okay. Alright, so
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Ma'am, you want to call roll call

- Comm. Cameron: Commissioner Terrones on it here. Commissioner Borunda “here”. Commissioner Cameron “here”, to the commissioner Holguin “Here” the woman Brumlow here that we have a quorum.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Okay.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Gentlemen if any of you are going to speak, I need you to sign in on that sheet of paper, please. Do you have a pen? Okay. Alright, sure. Oh, no other public comments are being considered at tonight's meeting. So, at this time we're going to move to our public meeting. Mr. Roman, have the legal notices for tonight's meeting been posted?
- Mr. Roman: Yes ma'am.
- Chairwoman Brumlow okay. Check that off. So, this case has been heard under provisions required by the New Mexico court of appeals intended to protect your rights of all parties to the proceedings. Requirements include the identification of parties and their witnesses and the swearing-in of parties. Given testimony. The affected parties will have the right to cross-examine persons giving testimony. Okay, so you read the case, consider it consideration and action to approve a variance request to divide two existing lots into two separate lots located at 900 Ruth Avenue and ID are 18 dashes oh seven two eight four and is in CRO dash one zone, which is community residential.
- Comm. Terrones -Excuse me. That was the last meeting. That was the last meeting.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Okay. I need you to get through this first. Okay.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: How often do you have; you're going to speak? Okay. I need you to, ah,
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Do you swear that what you are going to say is true? Yes, I did your name, sir. MARCOS RIVERA, TOBY ALVARADO, Yes. RONALD KING
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Did you get that Ms. Cameron? NO, it was Ronald King. Toby ALVARADO, Marcos Rivera, They've all been sworn in.
- Comm. Cameron: I've got Mr. Rivera and Mr. King. And what was the other one? Alvarado
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Okay. Okay, thank you. Okay. Is there a commissioner who has a conflict of interest in this case? No. Okay. Andy? No. No. No. For Barbara. Okay. And Sara? Sara: No. Okay.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Have there been any outside or ex part communication by any commission member?
- Comm. Cameron: No.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Okay. Mr. Roman will you
- Chairwomen Brumlow: Well, you can't determine the presence of the parties because you're not here. Okay. So, will you present the case for the city, please?
- Mr. Roman: Case back for whatever's on requesting lot size. I'm a possible subdivision. Company to the city..... of 6000 square feet, they want to petition for a smaller size of the 6,000 square feet per lap.
- Mr. Roman: They want to what? Petition for a smaller size of the 6000 square feet per lap
- Chairwoman Brumlow: So are they, they're looking to make some smaller, all of them smaller than the 6,000 square feet,
- Speaker 1: Correct?
- Chairwoman Brumlow: 55. So what is the smallest,
- Jaime Gonzalez: Do you know what I'm sharing? Is that running the smallest they have? They have some that are 104.9 feet in length. 55 feet with comes out to 5769.5 square feet.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: How much was that? 5,000 what?
- Speaker: 769.5 square feet.

- Chairwoman Brumlow: Okay. What's your short by less than 300 Okay. Is that your smallest one? Yes. Okay. I have most of them on, I have a question. The app, the application, and the agenda States that they want to divide two existing lots into two separate lots located at 900 Ruth Avenue.
- Mr. Roman: No monetary if I made it there. That's a title. It should be for various, let's say property.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Yeah. Because this doesn't make sense with what I'm looking at on the maps. Are you all seeing that commissioners?
- Mr. Roman: Yeah. Once what doesn't make sense for the purpose of] Plan for the various, I guess approved, then they would proceed later in presenting the subdivision to the city.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: So, all of this property that they're looking at, it belongs to one person.
- Mr. Roman: Yes. Mr. Rivera
- Chairwoman Brumlow: That all of that is your property? Yes, ma'am. Okay. So, all right, so the error was in the way they worded this, right?
- Mr. Roman: Right. I see.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: What was that? Well, what, what is the correct language then? Yeah. What we need, we need to correct this on here, Mr. Roman.
- Mr. Roman: Madam chair if I may basically the correct language, it should be that they're quite varied, not size for a possible subdivision
- Chairwoman Brumlow: We're going to have to have to get this fixed for the right verbiage. Okay. Everybody understand where I'm coming from? Yeah, yeah. Yeah. Because it was a little confusing to me when I first saw it. I don't know about you guys.
- Comm. Cameron: I was very confusing.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Okay. So, do you, do you all understand now?
- Speaker: Yeah, madame chair what they trying to do is get a barrier and cause a lot of fights.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Right, right. Yeah. But according to the agenda, it was only one lot, two lots, not the whole parcel.
- Speakers: The whole piece of the whole parcel for lack of a better word. Go ahead. Yeah, this is Sarah. So, it would be them, the variance on the lot width and the total square footage per lot. Right. That's what it looks like Madame Sure. Yes. I have a question. And, for the owner and the, engineer. If you take a walk at a hundred, if you take your lodge at a hundred and the inland, in order to make some thousand square feet to meet them, they would need one, 1.6 feet more in width. They try laying it out to see if they could meet the 6,000 square feet. What, what would be, what is their argument, for them not to be able to meet the 6,000 square feet? Yeah, he's talking to you. You want to talk about your house size? Well, we're talking about the lot size. Yes. But the lots are size to him from his house. We 6,000 square feet. They only need to make the lot 1.6 feet wider and he can meet the, argument or going only 55 feet instead of 56.6.
- Toby Alvarado: This is Toby with skyline engineers. Basically the, the argument or not going to the additional width is that four lots would be lost one in each row Atypically because we will need another 30 feet. So, we would wind up with a narrow 30-foot lot at the end, which would keep, couldn't be used. And so, we divided the area, to 55 feet.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Okay. How many houses would you lose? Four, they would lose. They would lose four houses. Yeah. One per each street. Oh yeah. Yes. For each row. Yeah, I see that now. I understand. So yeah, he's stayed in one a half. The do add how many lots we had. Yeah, they would lose a lot.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Are you listening to this i need you to be listening to this. Okay. Anybody else have anything else to say about this?
- Speaker: Yeah, madame chair. Was there a resident there? Mister Gonzalez? yes. Does he have any comments or concerns? I'd like to, hear
- Mr. Jaime Gonzalez: Oh, no, no. Yeah. Mr. Gonzalez, are you still there?

- Mr. Jaime Gonzales: Yes, I'm still here. It says Mr. Jaime Gonzalez I list and I'm a resident, I live on a 69 Acosta road and I got a certified letter in regards to the planning and zoning notice taking place today to the meeting. But I'm not, I'm not, I'm not, I'm not familiar with verbiage that you guys are using. You know I don't understand why you're; do you want to do this? I have no notice of what's going on
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Okay. I can explain it to you. The ordinance, the city ordinance says that all lot sizes will be a minimum of 6,000 square feet total. Okay. These contractors want to come in and build 60, 68, and 89. Cool. Where did you see anything? Okay, I didn't see that 89. Where's it's a total of 89 houses. Okay. And in order to get the 89 houses in this area, instead of going to 6,000 square feet, they want a variance for that for us to give them permission to build it with the specs, which would make it, which would make the lot size 5769.5 feet, which is short by less than 300 square feet. That's where they come to. And because you live in the area, you have the right to your opinion and we listened to the opinion of the citizens.
- Mr. Jaime Gonzales: I'm going to ask a question then. Going back to when, back to the 6,000 square feet, what would be the lanes of the lot in feet in the width in feet also?
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Well then, all the plans, they're all, they're different. They're not all the same.
- New Speaker: He averages 160 feet in length and 55 feet wide is the, it's what those lots of things.
- Jaime Gonzales: Okay. Because I'm thinking about my lot in particular and if I recall correctly, the length of my lot is 115 feet and the width is 95 feet.
- Mr. Roman: Madame Chair if I'm may this Roman. Yes. So, this resident requests that a lot of us previously done before, what's the student currently.
- Mr. Roman: Oh, okay. That's why he's a lot is differentunder Doña Ana County.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Yeah. So, it's grandfathered in. So, your lot size has nothing to do with the lot sizes. Yeah. Everything that was there prior to the incorporation of the city stays the same. It was grandfathered in. Yeah. Yeah.
- Mr. Roman: You're, you're, yeah. You're not, Mr. Gonzales wouldn't not be affected by people doing before that.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Yeah. You just have the right to have your opinion heard, whether it's positive or positive or negative.
- Mr. Jaime Gonzales: Thank you again, Madam Chair. Yes. I think it seems like to the second subdivision that we require and notes out of what other municipalities have as far as minimum wage and, and Lance on lock-in. And it seems like you know, we did at the end the Vanity, very similar to what this lot size that they are requesting. And I think we've done one more barrier. So, regarding the width and I, and I think we probably need to look at this standard because everybody, I think it's more, it's more common now to see that 55-foot width from developers. It seems like that's, you know, and I'm not trying to compare ourselves with El Paso, Las Cruces or anything, but that's what to their standard. And I'm thinking, you know, maybe I know the answer to like it because you know, it gives residents less room to put like a park. That is trashed or you know, more trash besides their home and, and it tends to be cleaner and neater. So, I don't have an issue with this dimension. You know, one of them is only 230 square feet less. The other one is 170 square feet, then less. But it does seem like the standard out there now is to be that 55-foot width. The land we have in our standard fear, they have more than enough. I don't have an issue with it, but if we were to approve this, I would really and it's a recommendation that we'd look at the standards. So, we're not running into this issue over and over again, but it seems like the norm now by food a lot with

- Mr. Rivera: Okay. If I may. My name is Matthew River. I'm the contractor. I'm the person that was just talking. I forgot his name. I apologize. Mr. Terrones is, what you had just mentioned. You're absolutely correct. Most of the divisions in the area, and like we said, we're not comparing because we're not sending them park. We're not something that is, that we're not all Paso, but most of the divisions have a minimum frontage of 50 to 55 feet. But my main reason for it to be at 55 and taking away those two feet on each lot is because what we have enabled under lock 35 where it says pond park, I wanted to take away about 200 square feet on each of the lots and make a community park for the area, for the whole subdivision. Do a lot of the people in that area that I am targeting are, are older people or people that don't want to have yard maintenance and they want to have a community area instead of having their, their bigger yards, they're bigger lawns to maintain.
- Mr. Rivera: That is one of the main reasons why we're doing this. Again, this and this subdivision is targeted or low-income people for real development. I work a lot with a USDA department out of Las Cruces and Santa Fe. So, my, my, my subdivisions are to promote low income people or give them all the high quality that we could offer in a home as well as the community. They will have the rock bottom, no fence, no Shane link. They're going to have Grandma Tyler throughout the whole house. They all get the privilege of choosing cabinet colors, tile colors. You know, we're just customizing it for them. We're trying to give them a privilege that at most cases they haven't received before. And we are trying to make a community, we have nothing but single-family homes where the exception of the upper part, but just the homes to where, you know, we're not going to have a mobile home next to it. We're not trying to; we're trying to keep all the area as nice as possible. A nice area. And Anthony, just like the one you guys submitted approved across the street on Acosta you know, that's our goal. That's what we're aiming for. But one of the main reasons why we didn't go as wide on the front, on the lot the front edge was because we weren't going to give up. We're up six lots on about 35 to make that pond slash park area.
- Speaker: Yeah,
Mr. Rivera: That's our, that was the main reason why we, we did that. And our houses, you know, having the five-foot setback on each house, exactly like you said earlier, that prohibits them to drive a car that doesn't work and it's just taking up space and making the area look nasty in a way. They, they, it doesn't fit to the backyard because our houses are about between 42 to 45 feet. Why that gives us the setback of the five feet setback on each side. That doesn't allow them to go in and, and, you know, have junk on the sites as far as much junk at least, or bigger objects on the sides. But that's, that's the reason why we're targeting this. B, we have Developed an area in Southern park and I, it was a 41 subdivision and it was all for you as VA clients, customers, and this was about the same size that we, we built on them for in that area. Just a couple of comments that I wanted to mention. And before you guys came up to a, a decision.
- Comm. Terrones: Madam Chair. This is Terrones thank you for that explanation. I appreciate it. And I do see; I mean they made them on the depth that we call it lots are 70 feet and you're going all the way to 106. So that allows the property owner to have sufficient backyard on the side. We require five feet. You wouldn't have a problem meeting the five feet requirement when you have these five only, you know, you take 10 feet off your, you're at 45 feet for us or your home. You guys wouldn't have an issue meeting that requirement.
- Mr. Rivera: No issue an officer. All my, all the models that I have designed for this the widest house is 44 feet.
- Comm. Holguin: I had a hard time understanding,
- Chairwoman Brumlow: What do you need to be clarified Sarah

- Comm. Holguin: But I'm having a hard time understanding Mr. Rivera
- Mr. Rivera: Yes, I'm sorry Sarah. So, my lots are 55 feet. Why is what I'm proposing and we need to respect bike, foot setback on each side of the house. So that gives us 45 feet to build a house on the width of the house and the models that I am planning on putting there, the widest house I have is 44 feet. So, we will not approach the setback in any way. I'm not asking for a, for a, for a variance on imploded on property setbacks or neighbors or, or you know, having the houses sit too close to each other. We're still respecting the five-foot setback on each side. And of course, the front and the rear setbacks.
- Mr. Roman: Yes, that 5 ft. requirement. Marshall's federal fire marshals, but my understanding it Should be from.
- Mr. Rivera: That is correct sir. So, when I say the widest model that I have is 44 feet, that's from roof roofline to roofline.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Okay.
- Mr. Rivera: Because a lot of people do a 45-foot-wide on the house and then they have an overhang of 18 inches on each side. So that's already getting, it really approached to three and a half feet. But on the other side, when we design, we go from roof to roof line on the way for the house. So, we will not be approaching the 5ft including the roof line.
- Mr. Roman: Excellent.
- Comm. Terrones: Okay, excellent. Madam chair, what is the average square footage of the homes?
- Mr. Rivera: The average square footage is between 1400 and 1500 square feet.
- Comm. Cameron: Okay.
- Mr. Rivera: Three-bedroom, two baths. And the biggest one is four bedrooms, two and a half baths.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: I have a question. Yes. Why are you not utilizing this property? That's the area that we want to plan on building apartments. Okay. This is not zoned for that. Okay. Alright. It's no zone for commercial and it's not zoned for apartments for ar2 you know, to only for CR1 that's it. CR1 Yeah. So, you could still have this because otherwise if you plan to go in and do that, that's going to take a rezoning. I thought CR1 right here. You're talking about this area right here. CR1. That's what this is. This is the area. Well, commercial doesn't mean businesses and, and the only businesses that we have there are a grandfather. Yes.
- Mr. Roman: Madam chair this Mr. Roman,
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Mr. Roman what? Remind me you want to repeat that again? No. Under
- Mr. Roman: If I could speak out of turn. Yes. Yes. I had advice from core their wishes and in apartments, it will require a zoning change with another variance. Right. And then why not take any I think which is medium density apartments. Don't quote me on this.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: It was still taking a rezoning.
- Mr. Roman: Correct? We were still required. Chairwoman Brumlow: Right. Okay.
- Mr. Roman: Okay. Well right now we're here just for a rigorous and I think it would be coming back if the various is approved, they'll come back with complete specimens for the houses and rezoning for the other part.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Yeah, Yes. Yeah. You know that theme the same. Well what, what are the variants that I'm asking for today? That's what I just wanted to know why you weren't using this. Yes. To make this bigger. So, the house has, could be the last could meet that and because we had a plan on building apartments here. Okay, well now you know how to go about that. It would take a rezoning. Okay. Because this is not zoned for commercial, for multifamily housing. Single-family only Okay. Yes ma'am. Commissioners, any more questions? No ma'am. Now talk to the school district about the schools being able to hold new kids.

- Mr. Rivera: The only communication that I've had with a school is with Mr. Robert Mata, the assistant principal there. Then, the communication that I have with them is that we were going to purchase the land, but I haven't gone to them and tell them how many houses we're building and what we're doing because I wasn't sure how my variants would go and I didn't have a number for him. I have communication with Loma Linda elementary because we donate turkeys and toy drives every year. We've been doing it for six years. That's why I have my communication with
- Chairwoman Brumlow: One of our concerns is that the schools are going to be able to handle the influx of children. Yes. Okay. Yep. And so, you only, you're only going to have this way out and that way out. We have two exits. Well, this is really a different entrance, exit, exit or entrance, entrance, exit, exit. And right here. Yeah. These are the ones that concerned me too. Are you all seeing that off of Landmark Street and Ruth Avenue?
- Comm. Cameron: Yes.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Which is, how far are they from local stuff? One load over one. One thing. You broke it, yeah. Yeah, because I'm looking at it Yeah. So, okay.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Does anybody have any questions about that or concerns?
- Comm. Terrones: Once we submit for the sob division approval. We can address all those concerns because you're right, they're probably other concerns we need to look at from a, from a, from a subdivision.
- Comm. Holguin: Yep. So, I Madam chair this is Sara also I guess, I just, I'm here to, I guess, make sure that I understood. Is this a physical hardship or is this an extraordinary economic hardship?
- Chairwoman: Which part?
- Comm. Holguin: Oh, for the variance? No, because according to learn a cookie because to her ordinance or you know, one of the required approvals for the variances there is you know, there's, is there a physical hardship, extraordinary economic arches or you know, that I resolved in a change in size, shape, or existing structures or topographical or other positions. The side of the area of notice.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: The only hardship, if I can think of is that they would lose what, four houses?
- Not, I'm sure. Yeah, that would be, it would be a financial,
- Chairwoman: But it's not, it's not a financial burden to the house, to the homeowners, only to the builders. Do you understand what she's saying we can? We only do bearings just under certain conditions. Like she said, either being financial hardship. What's the other one, Sarah?
- Comm. Holguin: It's regarding the statistical hardship for extraordinary hardship resulting from the size, shape, sifting structure, topographical, or other physical conditions on the site or in the area of notice. Right. There needs to be a justification.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Oh, can somebody move around so the lights can come back and off? Hold on. The lights just went out.
- Comm Cameron: Okay.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: You all know what she's talking about, right? Because we've experienced that in the last two public hearings.
- Comm. Cameron: Yes. Okay. Yes.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: So actually, there is no hardship in this case, if anybody. Does anybody see anything?
- Comm. Terrones: Hi. This is, I just, it's, you know, it basically boils down to a financial the decision, whether, you know, for lots, could be the profit of a project like this,
- Chairwoman Brumlow: But then they've also got this extra piece of land on the other side of the landmark.

- Speaker: Hmm.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Oh wait, we're between a rock and a hard place.
- Mr. Rivera: Chair, look at this, looking at the Anthony when we did the zone change there, there are variances there. I haven't heard anybody from that upper-division come back and say, yeah, you know.
- Those blocks are, you know, are causing this kind of health concern. I have not heard any police officer, police chief, or a fire department, anybody with concerns because of the last five since there. And they make them or need them in another location. You know, they're more to the side locked. I don't have a problem with this very.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Do you have any comments?
- Mr. Roman: Madden? Sure. A negative I should have done. We start the runner's nation. We have Megan every month from the first permissible subdivision.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Yeah, I've heard that too. Do you gentleman have any more comments to clarify? I would like to tell you that. Yeah, that's all I wanted to mention. Okay. Commissioners, anything else?
- All Commissioners.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Okay,
- Chairwoman Brumlow: So, there is no, no further discussion. Take that as a no. No Okay. Well live. Everybody is satisfied. I am ready for it to your motion. I'm sure I make a motion that we approve the requested variance. You allow the locked side to be a various units also on the total square footage.
- Comm. Cameron: That motion.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Okay. Camera. Okay, So, I have a question in a second too. Hello, this variance chairman Cameron, would you take the roll call vote, please?
- Comm. Cameron: Yes. Commissioner vote on that?
- Commissioner Terrones
- Comm. Terrones: Yes.
- Comm. Borunda: Yes
- Commissioner Cameron? Yes.
- Comm. Holguin: yes
- Comm. Cameron: Yeah,
- Chairwoman Brumlow: The motion passes. Okay now you're very welcome. Now I want you to understand that we've just approved the variance. You'll still have to come back and see us about approving everything that's in it. For example, get something from the school district for me, please. And they're really good about it because we've gone through this before. In the meantime, we'll discuss
- What else we need to, to address for example speed bumps. And that's been a real problem over here in Hacienda and the Anthony things like that. Okay.
- Mr. Alvarado: And I just want you guys to understand what Dean, we're here to make this better. So, have you given me suggestions as far as people and stuff like that? We have no issues with trying to work together. We're going to submit a complete set of water and we submit them to you and then y'all can look at them and have comments, give us suggestions.
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Now when you come to do that unit, we do not need a public meeting for that. You just get with city staff to put you on the agenda so that we can look at, look at what you're proposing to do. In the meantime, we can sit down at our next meeting and look and see what we want to see there and stuff. Okay.
- Mr. Alvarado: As far as the zoning change starfish without the zoning change.

- Chairwoman Brumlow: Yes. Yes. But that will, that will take, yes. That will take another public hearing to rezone it. Okay or maybe even in the meantime, you decide when to do something else with it. Okay. All right. Okay. So, any, anything else, commissioners?
- All Commissioners: No ma'am. No ma'am. Can I have a motion to adjourn? What? Barb did not be approved? What would be a vendor order?
- Chairwoman Brumlow: Oh, I'm sorry. Well, that's okay. We'll just, yeah, yeah. We'll just, yeah. Okay. I need a motion to adjourn, please. I'll make a motion to adjourn Holguin got a second..... Okay. All in favor? Aye. Thank you, everyone. Yeah, the meaning is Adjourning.
- Commissioners, I don't know what the status of our next meeting is because of what's going on, but I will let you know. Thank you very much. Thank you, everyone. Bye

ATTEST:



Esther Motongo, City Clerk



Diana M. Trujillo, Mayor

